	Case 2:22-cv-01961-TLN-AC Documen	t 12 Filed 03/08/23 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	RAUL VALENZUELA CERVANTES,	No. 2:22-cv-1961 AC P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER AND FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	PORTER, et al.,	RECOMMENDATIONS
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief	
18	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to	
19	28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On November 1, 2022, plaintiff filed an incomplete application to proceed in forma	
21	pauperis. ECF No. 2. As a result, on November 15, 2022, plaintiff was ordered to file a	
22	completed in forma pauperis affidavit and a certified copy of his prison trust account statement.	
23	ECF No. 4. He was given thirty days to do so, and he was cautioned that failure to comply with	
24	the court's order would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. <u>Id.</u> at 2.	
25	On November 28, 2022, plaintiff filed a second in forma pauperis application. ECF No. 8.	
26	It, too, was incomplete. Consequently, on December 21, 2022, plaintiff was ordered for a second	
27	time to file the requisite documents, and he was given an additional thirty days to do so. ECF No.	
28	9.	
	.l	I .

## Case 2:22-cv-01961-TLN-AC Document 12 Filed 03/08/23 Page 2 of 2

More than thirty days have passed, and plaintiff has not filed an in forma pauperis application. In addition, the late-filed prisoner trust fund account statement is not signed by the appropriate authority. See ECF No. 11 at 3. For these reasons, the undersigned will recommend that this matter be dismissed for failure to file a completed in forma pauperis application or, in the alternative, to pay the filing fee.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall randomly assign a District Judge to this case.

IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that this action be DISMISSED without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

DATED: March 8, 2023

ALLISON CLAIRE

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

auson Clane